1	MARTIN & BONTRAGER, APC	
2	G. Thomas Martin, III (SBN 218456) Nicholas J. Bontrager (SBN 252114)	
3	6565 W. Sunset Blvd., Ste. 410 Los Angeles, CA 90028 T: (323) 940-1700 F: (323) 238-8095 Tom@mblawapc.com Nick@mblawapc.com	
4		
5		
6		
7	C Division	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff CARLA PAGLIA	
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12		
13	CARLA PAGLIA,	Case No.:2:17-cv-2184
14	, i	Case 1102 · 17 - CV - 2104
15	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR
16	VS.	JURY TRIAL FOR:
17		1. VIOLATIONS OF
18	UNITED RECEIVABLES GROUP,	THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION
19	LLC,	PRACTICES ACT [15 U.S.C. §
	Defendant(s).	1692]
20		
21		
22	INTER O	DUCTION
23	<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	
24	1. CARLA PAGLIA (Plaintiff) brings this action to secure redress from	
25	UNITED RECEIVABLES GROUP, LLC (Defendant) for violations of the Fair	
26	Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA), 15 U.S.C. § 1692.	
27		
28	JURISDICTIO	ON AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 as Plaintiff's claims arise under the laws of the United States.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because the acts and transactions alleged in this Complaint originated here as Plaintiff is located here and Defendant transacts business here.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff is an individual, residing in Los Angeles County, California. Plaintiff is a natural person from whom a debt collector seeks to collect a consumer debt which is due and owing or alleged to be due and owing.
- 5. Defendant is a limited partnership engaged in the business of collecting debts in this state and in several other states, with its principal place of business located in South Carolina. The principal purpose of Defendant is the collection of debts in this state and several other states, and Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 6. Defendant is engaged in the collection of debts from consumers using the mail and telephone. Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another and Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. Within one year prior to the filing of this action, Defendant contacted Plaintiff to collect money, property or their equivalent, due or owing or alleged to be due or owing from a natural person by reason of a consumer credit transaction and/or "consumer debt."
- 8. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant owned, operated and/or controlled telephone number (866) 305-6975. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant called Plaintiff from, but not limited to, the forgoing telephone number for the purpose of collecting the alleged debt.

- 9. Within one year prior to the filing of this action, Defendant regularly and repeatedly called Plaintiff at Plaintiff's telephone numbers ending in 3827 and 0153.
- 10. During the past one (1) year, Defendant has called Plaintiff scores of times, often calling on a daily basis and multiple times a day.
- 11. Defendant would often call both telephone numbers of Plaintiff in succession after being unsuccessful in reaching Plaintiff on one number.
- 12. Plaintiff never provided Defendant with her numbers and believes that Defendant obtained these numbers via skip tracing.
- 13. Plaintiff became annoyed at the frequency of calls and believes that the purpose being such call frequency was to abuse or harass Plaintiff into paying Defendant for the alleged debt owed.
- 14. As a result, Defendant caused Plaintiff's telephone to ring repeatedly and continuously to annoy Plaintiff as Defendant.
- 15. As a result, Defendant called and attempted to communicate with Plaintiff with such frequency as to be unreasonable under the circumstances and to constitute harassment.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692)

- 16. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 17. Defendant violated the FDCPA. Defendant's violations include, but are not limited to the following:
- (a) Defendant violated §1692d by engaging in conduct the natural consequence is the abuse, annoyance or harassment of Plaintiff;

- (b) Defendant violated §1692d(5) by causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number;
- 18. Defendant's acts, as described above, were done intentionally with the purpose of coercing Plaintiff to pay the alleged debt.
- 19. As a result of the foregoing violations of the FDCPA, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for actual damages, statutory damages, and attorney's fees and costs, and, such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered against Defendant for the following:

- (a) Actual damages pursuant to statute(s), in amounts to be determined at trial and for Plaintiff.
 - (b) Statutory damages pursuant to statute(s);
 - (c) Punitive damages pursuant to statute;
- (d) Costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to pursuant to statute(s);
- (e) For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Please take notice that Plaintiff demands a trial by jury in this action.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, Dated: March 21, 2017 MARTIN & BONTRAGER, APC By: /s/ Nicholas J. Bontrager Nicholas J. Bontrager Attorney for Plaintiff